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Specific amino acid substitutions confer a temperature-sensitive-folding (tsf) phenotype to bacteriophage P22 coat protein. Additional amino acid substitutions, called suppressor substitutions (su), relieve the tsf phenotype. These su substitutions are proposed to increase the efficiency of procapsid assembly, favoring correct folding over improper aggregation. Our recent studies indicate that the molecular chaperones GroEL/ES are more effectively recruited in vivo for the folding of tsfasu coat proteins than their tsf parents. Here, the tsfasu coat proteins are studied with in vitro equilibrium and kinetic techniques to establish a molecular basis for suppression. The tsfasu coat proteins were monomeric, as determined by velocity sedimentation analytical ultracentrifugation. The stability of the tsfasu coat proteins was ascertained by equilibrium urea titrations, which were best described by a three-state folding model, N <-> I <-> U. The tsfasu coat proteins either had stabilized native or intermediate states as compared with their tsf coat protein parents. The kinetics of the I <-> U transition showed a decrease in the rate of unfolding and a small increase in the rate of refolding, thereby increasing the population of the intermediate state. The increased intermediate population may be the reason the tsfasu coat proteins are aggregation-prone and likely enhances GroEL-ES interactions. The N -> I unfolding rate was slower for the tsfasu proteins than their tsf coat parents, resulting in an increase in the native state population, which may allow more competent interactions with scaffolding protein, an assembly chaperone. Thus, the suppressor substitution likely improves folding in vivo through increased efficiency of coat protein-chaperone interactions.

The processes of protein folding and assembly are driven by the primary amino acid sequence (1, 2). Changes in this sequence, such as amino acid substitutions or deletions, can lead to protein misfolding and aggregation (3). These protein folding problems have been linked with serious human diseases (4-6). For example, in the amino acid sequence of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane receptor, most commonly called suppressor substitutions (su), relieve the tsf phenotype. These su substitutions result in a WT phenotype in vivo. Our model system for studying the effects of amino acid substitutions on folding and assembly is coat protein of P22, a double stand DNA bacteriophage of Salmonella typhimurium. P22 coat protein is a 47-kDa polypeptide comprising 429 amino acids (10, 11). During assembly, 420 coat protein monomers and 150-300 molecules of scaffolding protein, an assembly chaperone, form a spherical procapsid in which DNA is packaged to form a phage (12-16). Single amino acid substitutions in the coat protein of P22 cause a temperature-sensitive-folding phenotype (tsf).1 The tsf substitutions cause coat protein to aggregate in vivo when infected cells are grown at high temperature but are able to assemble into phage at low temperature (17, 18). At high temperatures, the folding of the tsf coat proteins is rescued by overproduction of the molecular chaperones GroEL and GroES in vivo, but WT coat protein folds independently of these chaperones (19, 20).

Our initial in vitro investigations of WT coat protein and tsf coat proteins determined how the tsf amino acid substitutions affect the folding and assembly of coat protein (21, 22). We found that coat protein has two folding domains defined by spectroscopic probes: a domain of secondary structure primarily monitored by circular dichroism (CD) and a hydrophobic domain with a tryptophan pocket, which can be monitored by tryptophan fluorescence. Coat proteins carrying the tsf substitutions A108V, G232D, and F353L all have decreased stability when compared with WT coat protein. In addition, the unfolding kinetics for the tsf coat proteins, monitored by fluorescence of the six tryptophans in coat protein (11), are ~8-14 times faster than the unfolding rate of WT coat protein. The most surprising result came from the kinetic experiments monitored by CD. Both the unfolding and refolding reactions of the tsf coat proteins are too fast to be monitored by manual mixing experiments (with a dead time of ~5-7 s), whereas WT coat protein had readily observable kinetics for both reactions (21). From our experiments, we concluded that the domain of secondary structure, which is monitored by CD, is "flickering" in and out of its native state and populating an intermediate. It is this flickering that makes the tsf coat proteins more aggregation-prone than WT coat protein and causes the tsf coat proteins to require GroEL and GroES for efficient folding in vivo.

Suppressor (su) substitutions were isolated at additional sites in coat protein to identify other amino acids that are important for folding or assembly (23). The isolated su substitutions result in a WT phenotype in vivo. Three second site suppressors, D163G, T166I, and F170L, were repeatedly iso-
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lated from different tsf coat protein mutants and are therefore referred to as global suppressors. Surprisingly, when purified tsf::su coat protein mutants were studied \textit{in vitro}, we found that they were more aggregation-prone than their tsf parent coat proteins (24). However, with the addition of scaffolding protein, the phage assembly chaperone, to the tsf::su monomers, procapsid assembly can occur. The tsf coat proteins showed improved assembly rates and yields compared with the tsf parent coat proteins. From recent experiments,\textsuperscript{7} we determined that the tsf::su proteins also had enhanced interactions with GroEL and GroES \textit{in vivo}. Thus, we propose that the global suppressor substitutions rescue coat protein from the non-productive pathway of irreversible aggregation through a two-pronged mechanism \textit{in vivo}: first through enhanced interactions with GroEL and GroES and second by increasing the rate of assembly of coat protein into a procapsid through interactions with scaffolding protein (24). Enhanced binding of the tsf::su coat proteins by GroEL and GroES might suggest that folding intermediates are either more populated or less stable. However, increased ability to assemble into procapsids indicates that the native state could be stabilized. Thus, our \textit{in vivo} results present a puzzle: how can the folding of tsf::su coat proteins require enhanced interactions with GroEL and GroES and still have a stabilized native state for more efficient interactions with scaffolding protein? Here, we examine the folding and stability of the tsf::su coat protein monomers \textit{in vitro} to understand the molecular basis of the dual suppression mechanism. Using the tsf coat proteins S223F and P353L as well as these proteins with the global suppressor substitution T166I, we monitored changes in the folding rates and stability of the single and double substitution mutants. It appears that the T166I substitution may have differing effects on the stability of coat protein depending on the parent tsf substitution. Nevertheless, the T166I substitution appears to slow the folding and unfolding kinetics of the domain of secondary structure monitored by CD, as well as decreases the unfolding rate of the intermediate. Both changes in kinetics lead to an increased population of intermediate. Our data are consistent with our proposed hypothesis that the kinetic partitioning between aggregation and procapsids is regulated by the \textit{su} substitutions. Moreover, the tsf and tsf::su substitutions in P22 coat protein highlight how particular amino acids in a protein sequence are crucial to proper folding and assembly.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals, Buffers, and Proteins—Ultrapure urea was purchased from ICN. All other chemicals were reagent grade and purchased from common sources. Purification of tsf and tsf::su coat protein mutants was done as previously described (14, 25–27). The final products of purification are empty procapsid shells, which are composed solely of coat protein. All experiments described below were done in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.6.

Unfolded and Refolded Coat Protein Monomers—To obtain unfolded coat protein, empty procapsid shells were incubated in 6.75 M urea for 30 min at room temperature, which dissociates and denatures the subunits to monomers (21, 22, 25). Refolded coat protein monomers were formed by first denaturing empty procapsid shells in 6.75 M urea as described above. The unfolded coat protein was dialyzed overnight at 4 °C against phosphate buffer to remove the urea. The refolded coat protein monomers were held on ice until use.

Velocity Sedimentation Analytical Ultracentrifugation—The tsf and tsf::su coat protein samples, at about 1.0 mg/ml, prepared by microdialysis as described above, were diluted to 0.2 mg/ml and centrifuged in an AN-50TI rotor pre-equilibrated at 20 °C. The solvent compartment was loaded with the dialysis buffer. The material was centrifuged at 50,000 rpm in a Beckman XL-AI and monitored with interference optics until sedimentation of the boundary was complete. The analysis was done as previously described (22) using the programs Sednterp (28, 29) and Sedfit (30).

Fluorescence and Circular Dichroism Measurements—Fluorescence experiments were done with an SLM Aminco-Bowman 2 spectrofluorometer. The temperature of the cuvette was maintained at 20 °C with a circulating water bath. For equilibrium measurements, the excitation wavelength was 295 nm, and the emission wavelength was 340 nm, with both band-passes set to 4 nm. For kinetic measurements, an excitation wavelength of 295 nm, emission wavelength of 340 nm, and band-passes of 1 and 8 nm, respectively were used. Circular dichroism (CD) was done with an Applied Photophysics Pi-Star 180 spectropolarimeter with the cuvette maintained at 20 °C with a circulating water bath. The CD signal was monitored at 222 nm with a 2-nm band pass for equilibrium and kinetic experiments. A 1-cm path-length cell was used for equilibrium titrations and kinetic experiments. Equilibrium measurements in the CD and fluorometer were averaged for 30 s per sample.

Unfolding and Refolding to Equilibrium—Samples for urea equilibrium titration curves were made using a Hamilton Microlab 500 titrator as described previously (21, 22). Approximately 70 samples were used for each technique to define the equilibrium curves. The equilibrium transitions were monitored by tryptophan fluorescence and CD as described above. Data analysis was done as previously described, using the program Savuka (21, 22), except least-square errors are reported rather than the errors from the robust analysis. The sensitivity of each transition to denaturant, \textit{m}, were determined by fitting the equilibrium data sets assuming a linear relationship between the free energy of unfolding for each transition and the denaturant (31, 32). For the global fit, all of the data from each technique were analyzed simultaneously, and the thermodynamic parameters were obtained as described in Finn et al. (31) using the formula,

\[
F_{\text{signal}} = K_{\text{eq}}(Z_1 + K_{\text{ii}}(1 + K_{\text{II}})) 
\]

where \(K_{\text{eq}} = [I]/[N] \) and \(K_{\text{ii}} = [U]/[I] \) and \(Z_1 = (Y_1 - Y_0)/Y_0 \) and \(Y_2 = Y_0 \). The \(Z \)-parameter normalizes the optical properties (\(Y \)) of the intermediate to that of the native and unfolded states. The \(Y \) values were treated as local parameters, whereas the \(\Delta G\) and the \(m \) values were globally fit. The \(Z \) value was allowed to vary between the fluorescence curve and the CD curve, so that two \(Z \) values were determined for each fit. A \(Z \) value of 0 means the intermediate has native-like spectroscopic properties, and a \(Z \) value of 1 means the intermediate is like the unfolded state. Initial fitting estimates of the native and unfolded baseline slopes for the tsf mutants were based on the slopes from the fit of the equilibrium data for WT coat protein (21). The native baseline slopes for the equilibrium curves monitored by CD for each tsf::su coat protein and their tsf parent were set to be similar. The fraction of each species at different urea concentrations was calculated using the equilibrium parameters for each transition from the three-state fit, again using the program Savuka (33, 34).

\textit{bisANS Binding Assay}—\textit{bisANS} binding to WT and tsf coat proteins was determined using a double titration method with the excitation wavelength set at 400 nm and the emission wavelength at 490 nm (35, 36). In one titration the \textit{bisANS} concentration is fixed and the concentration of coat protein is varied. The \(y \)-intercept of a plot of \(F_\text{max} \) versus \(1/[\text{protein}] \) is \(1/F_\text{max} \), where \(F_\text{max} \) is the maximum fluorescence intensity. \(F_\text{max} \) is the maximum fluorescence units/\(\mu \text{M} \) \textit{bisANS} bound to coat protein. In the second titration, the coat protein concentration was held at 0.5 \(\mu \text{M} \), and the \textit{bisANS} concentration varied from 0.5 to 50 \(\mu \text{M} \). The fluorescence of both background and sample was corrected for the background effect, which was always substantial and described by Lakowicz (37). The fluorescence values were converted into \(\mu \text{M} \) \textit{bisANS} bound/\(\mu \text{M} \) coat protein. A plot of \(\mu \text{M} \textit{bisANS} bound/\(\mu \text{M} \) coat protein versus \textit{free} \(\textit{bisANS} \) was analyzed with KaleidaGraph (Synergy Software) using the formula \(y = n \times [\text{bisANS}]/[K + \text{bisANS}] \). The \(n \) is the number of sites and \(K \) is the dissociation constant (35). The \textit{bisANS} binding to terthion of WT coat protein, and for one of the tsf coat proteins, showed positive cooperativity, and therefore was analyzed with the Hill equation, \(log([\text{bisANS}]_0) = n \log([\text{bisANS}]_0) - \log K_0 \). At least three data sets were averaged for the values given in Table II.

**Kinetics of Unfolding and Refolding**—Unfolding experiments were done with coat protein monomers prepared as described above at a final concentration of 0.4 \(\mu \text{M} \). To initiate an unfolding reaction, the tsf::su coat protein monomers were diluted 1:50 with buffered urea. Refolding experiments were done with coat protein that had been denatured in 6.75 M urea. To initiate refolding, unfolded coat protein was diluted 1:100 with buffered urea solutions (0.4 \(\mu \text{M} \) final protein concentration).

\textsuperscript{7}K. N. Parent, M. J. Ranaghan, and C. M. Teschke, submitted for publication.
The constantly stirred reactions were monitored by fluorescence. The final urea concentration was determined by measuring the refractive index. Kinetic experiments monitored by CD at 222 nm were done as described above, but with a final protein concentration of 2 μM monomer. The kinetic traces were fit with two exponentials as described previously to obtain a relaxation time for each experiment (21, 22). The log of the relaxation times from the kinetic experiments was plotted on a chevron plot against the urea concentration. The urea dependence of the slow refolding and unfolding relaxation times, when monitored by tryptophan fluorescence, was fit with an equation for a two-state system modified from Ghaemmaghami et al. (39), as previously described (21, 22). From this analysis, the $\tau_I$ and $\tau_u (1/\kappa_1^I$ and $1/\kappa_u^I$, respectively) are the folding and unfolding relaxation times in the absence of urea; the $m_u$ and $m_f$ are determined. The $m_u = RT\kappa_u^I - m_f^*$, where $m_u^*$ and $m_f^*$ are the slopes of the unfolding or refolding arms of the chevron plot and reflect the sensitivity of each reaction to denaturant. The $m_u$ is similar to the $m$ value obtained from equilibrium urea titrations. $a = (m_u^* - m_f^*)/m_u$ and is a measure of how similar the transition state of the reaction is to the native state or the unfolded state. When $a$ is close to 1, the position of the transition state is near the native state, and if $a$ is close to 0, the transition state is near the unfolded state (40). The errors presented are the standard deviation values from the fitting of the equation using KaleidaGraph (Synergy Software).

RESULTS

In an earlier study, we determined that tsf substitutions in coat protein lead to a destabilized native state and a highly populated intermediate state (22). This destabilization is caused by a rapid flickering between the native and unfolded states of a domain of secondary structure of coat protein, as well as an increased rate of unfolding of a hydrophobic tryptophan pocket. Here, we determine how the $su$ substitutions modify the folding of the original tsf substitution. We have chosen S223F and S223F:T166I as well as F353L and F353L:T166I coat proteins for this study. In our previous experiments we used the T166I substitution, because it was the most frequently isolated global suppressor (24). Moreover, S223F and F353L were the parents that most often isolated the global suppressor.

**tsf Parent and Suppressor Coat Proteins Fold into Monomers**—We previously reported that WT coat protein and tsf coat proteins with single amino acid substitutions are monomeric when refolded from denaturant. Under identical conditions (22), we studied the oligomeric state of S223F:T166I and F353L:T166I substitution mutants, as well as their parent tsf coat proteins using velocity sedimentation analytical ultracentrifugation. The velocity sedimentation data were analyzed using the program Sedfit (30). Using the $c(s)$ method for sedimentation analysis, the $s_{20,w}$ values for S223F, S223F:T166I, and F353L:T166I coat proteins were determined to be 3.6, 3.2, and 3.6, respectively. The previously published values for WT, A108V, G232D, and F353L coat proteins are 3.4, 3.3, 3.3, and 3.6, respectively (21, 22). These values are consistent for proteins with a molecular mass of 47 kDa and varying asymmetries. Additionally, the percentage of higher molecular weight species was between 4 and 19%, which is similar to that observed for WT coat protein and the previously studied tsf coat proteins. From these results, we can conclude that the tsf/su coat proteins fold into monomers, as do the WT and tsf coat proteins.

**The Stability of the tsf/su Proteins**—The thermodynamics of folding for WT coat protein, as well as coat proteins with tsf amino acid substitutions have been previously studied (21, 22). For both WT coat protein and the tsf coat proteins, the equilibrium folding data were fit to a three-state model ($N \Leftrightarrow I \Leftrightarrow U$) (21, 22, 34). In these experiments, we found that the tsf coat proteins are less stable than WT coat protein, especially in the $N \Leftrightarrow I$ transition.

Equilibrium urea titrations were done to determine the stability of the tsf/su coat proteins. The equilibrium transitions for the coat protein variants were monitored using both intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence with an emission wavelength of 340 nm and circular dichroism (CD) at 222 nm. These wavelength transitions were chosen to maximize the difference in signal between folded (0 m urea) and unfolded (4 m urea) coat protein. This translated into a ~30% decrease in fluorescence signal between folded and unfolded protein monitored at 340 nm, and a decrease in signal for CD at 222 nm of ~75%. In previous studies, we established the reversibility of coat protein folding and unfolding (21, 22). Also, unfolded coat proteins refold into monomers, and in the presence of scaffolding protein these monomers are assembly-competent, again demonstrating that coat protein monomers can be reversibly folded (21, 22, 24).

As observed before, the transitions for the CD and tryptophan fluorescence were not coincident, indicating that the folding of the tsf/su coat proteins was not a two-state process. From our studies of the folding of WT and tsf coat proteins, we know that CD at 222 nm primarily monitors the $N \Leftrightarrow I$ transition, whereas tryptophan fluorescence primarily monitors the $I \Leftrightarrow U$ transition. The data for S223F:T166I and F353L:T166I coat proteins were again best described using the three-state model, $N \Leftrightarrow I \Leftrightarrow U$ (Figs. 1 and 2), and the lines are the fit of the data to that model. These data were not well described with a two-state model. Even using a three-state model these data were difficult to fit, because the native CD baselines are not well defined, although this fit was much improved over the two-state fit. The baselines were established as described under “Material and Methods.” The large errors for the thermodynamic parameters determined by the three-state fits of the tsf/su coat proteins reflect the difficulty in fitting these data. The fits presented here are the best fits we were able to attain as indicated by the reduced $\chi^2$ values. We fit the F353L data again, using the methods described under “Materials and Methods” to establish the baselines and returned values within error of those determined previously (22) (Table I). These new fits are shown here (Figs. 1 and 2). Both S223F and F353L were fit equally well with either a two-state or three-state equilibrium model with reduced $\chi^2$ values that were not significantly different. We have chosen to present here the fits of the three-state model, because the kinetics of folding and unfolding remain consistent with a three-state model (see below).

Both S223F and F353L coat proteins showed a significant destabilization compared with WT coat protein over the first transition ($N \Leftrightarrow I$), but less of a change in stability over the second transition ($I \Leftrightarrow U$) (Table I). The change in solvent accessibility ($m$ value) for the first transition was much smaller for the tsf mutant coat proteins than for WT coat protein, whereas the $m$ values for the second transition were similar to WT coat protein. These results are consistent with our earlier work with other tsf coat proteins (22).

The suppressor substitution, T166I, had a different affect on the stability of the two tsf coat proteins (Figs. 1 and 2). The stability of F353L:T166I coat protein for the $N \Leftrightarrow I$ transition was not altered by the addition of the suppressor substitution, whereas the second transition increased in stability by ~1.1 kcal/mol, an increase of greater than 50% (Table I). The change in solvent accessibility of the F353L:T166I coat protein for the $N \Leftrightarrow I$ transition was within error as compared with the F353L. The $m$ value for F353L:T166I coat protein over the $I \Leftrightarrow U$ transition was not significantly different than either F353L or WT coat proteins. On the other hand, the addition of the T166I suppressor substitution to the S223F tsf mutant coat protein caused the tsf/su coat protein to have an increase in both stability and $m$ value for the $N \Leftrightarrow I$ transition over that of the S223F coat protein. Little change in stability and $m$ value for
the I ⇔ U transition was observed for the S223F:T166I coat protein. Although there is an increase in stability for the N ⇔ I transition, there remains an over 60% decrease in stability compared with WT coat protein. In all cases the Z-parameters are not well enough defined to evaluate the effect of the T166I substitution on the optical properties of the intermediate. Thus, the addition of T166I to F353L does not alter the stability of the native state, whereas its addition to S223F increases the native state stability.

Fraction of species plots were generated from the thermodynamic parameters of the three-state fit to more easily compare changes in the populations of native, intermediate, and unfolded species (Fig. 2). The folding intermediate (dotted line) was easily visible with a maximum population at urea concentrations between 0.4 and 1 M comprising between 60 and 85% of the species present. The low stability of the first transition for the I ⇔ U transition was observed for the S223F:T166I coat protein. Although there is an increase in stability for the N ⇔ I transition, there remains an over 60% decrease in stability compared with WT coat protein. In all cases the Z-parameters are not well enough defined to evaluate the effect of the T166I substitution on the optical properties of the intermediate. Thus, the addition of T166I to F353L does not alter the stability of the native state, whereas its addition to S223F increases the native state stability.

Fraction of species plots were generated from the thermodynamic parameters of the three-state fit to more easily compare changes in the populations of native, intermediate, and unfolded species (Fig. 2). The folding intermediate (dotted line) was easily visible with a maximum population at urea concentrations between 0.4 and 1 M comprising between 60 and 85% of the species present. The low stability of the first transition for

The tsf:su Coat Proteins Show Differential Binding of bisANS—The results of our equilibrium titrations indicated that the T166I substitution has a different effect depending on the tsf parent. The native state of S223F is stabilized, whereas it is the intermediate of F353L that is stabilized by the addition of T166I. To confirm this result, we studied the binding of the hydrophobic dye bisANS, a probe for exposed hydrophobic patches on proteins (41). From previous experiments we know that the tsf coat proteins, A108V, G232D, and F353L, have a higher affinity for, and bind significantly more, of the hydrophobic dye bisANS than does WT coat protein, indicating a general increase in surface hydrophobicity (25). In addition, no positive cooperativity in binding bisANS is observed for the tsf
coat proteins, but cooperativity is seen for WT coat protein (25). To confirm changes in the native state of the tsf: su coat proteins, we investigated the binding of bisANS to WT, S223F, F353L, S223F:T166I, and F353L:T166I coat proteins using Scatchard or Hill analysis (Fig. 3 and Table II). The tsf coat proteins, S223F and F353L, follow the same pattern as the other tsf coat proteins, having no cooperativity in binding and a higher affinity for bisANS than WT coat protein. The tsf: su coat protein, S223F:T166I, had a binding isotherm that showed positive cooperativity and yielded a Hill coefficient similar to that of WT coat protein (Fig. 3), indicating a change in the tertiary structure of this coat protein that alters the amount of exposed hydrophobic surface area to a more compact structure, similar to WT coat protein. Using Hill analysis led to a significant improvement in the χ². Conversely, F353L:T166I had a Kₛ similar to that of its tsf parent. Thus, the binding of bisANS confirms that T166I substitution causes the native state of S223F to become more like WT coat protein, whereas it has little effect on the native state of F353L.

The Rate of Flickering of the Secondary Structure Decreases in the Presence of the Suppressor Substitution—The tsf coat proteins studied in Doyle et al. (22) have unfolding and refolding kinetics that occur too rapidly to be monitored by CD. This rapid flickering of the N ≻ I transition caused the instability and the propensity to aggregate of the tsf coat proteins (22). Because the T166I substitution appears to have different effects on the stability of the tsf coat proteins, an overall stabilization of the native state does not seem to be the mechanism by which the su substitution functions. Therefore, we investigated whether the addition of the suppressor substitution would slow down the kinetics of refolding and unfolding monitored by CD as a means of suppression of the tsf phenotype.

When the kinetics of the tsf: su mutant coat proteins were monitored by CD, unfolding kinetics could be seen for both S223F:T166I and F353L:T166I coat proteins. We were unable to fit the unfolding kinetics for F353L:T166I coat protein as they were too rapid and the amplitude small, but unlike F353L coat protein, kinetics were discernible. In the presence of the suppressor substitution, refolding kinetics were also evident for S223F:T166I coat protein, but not for F353L:T166I. The tsf mutant, S223F, was different than the other tsf mutant coat proteins. S223F showed observable refolding CD kinetics, although like other tsf mutants, the unfolding reaction was too rapid to be observed. The kinetic data for both S223F and S223F:T166I coat proteins were best fit to a first order reaction with two exponentials, as established for WT coat protein (21). Because S223F:T166I coat protein had both observable unfolding and refolding kinetics, the relaxation times were plotted versus the urea concentration in a chevron plot (Fig. 4). The relaxation times for the folding reactions of S223F are also shown in the chevron plot. These showed an unusual increase in the rate of folding as the denaturant concentration increased (Fig. 4). The fit of the data for WT coat protein is shown for comparison (21). The chevron plot data for S223F:T166I coat protein were fit as described above, and the parameters for that fit are in Table III. The thermodynamic parameters determined from the kinetic experiments were similar to those de-
librium was determined. The relaxation times are plotted with two exponentials, and the relaxation time to reach equilibrium is the fast kinetics. The kinetic data were fit with a first order rate equation. The results of that fit are shown in Fig. 4. Refolding reactions for S223F (open diamonds) and S223F:T166I (black circles) or unfolding reactions for S223F:T166I (gray circles) are shown at various urea concentrations. The large symbols are the slow kinetics, and the small symbols are the fast kinetics. The kinetic data were fit with a first order rate equation with two exponentials, and the relaxation time to reach equilibrium was determined. The relaxation times are plotted versus the urea concentration at which each experiment was performed. The black line is the fit of the slow relaxation times for S223F:T166I, as described under "Materials and Methods." The results of that fit are shown in Table III. Also shown is the fit of the slow folding and unfolding kinetics (solid gray line) of WT coat protein from Anderson and Teschke (21).

Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$K_d$ (μM)</th>
<th>Number of sites</th>
<th>Hill coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT*</td>
<td>74.5 ± 19.8</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S223F</td>
<td>9.8 ± 5.8</td>
<td>12.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S223F:T166I</td>
<td>40.0 ± 14.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F353L</td>
<td>9.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>8.3 ± 4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F353L:T166I</td>
<td>10.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>14.8 ± 5.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Results similar to those published in Teschke (25).

The fast and slow relaxation times from the fit of the kinetic data obtained by tryptophan fluorescence, which primarily monitors the $I \leftrightarrow U$ transition, were plotted against the urea concentration in chevron plots (Fig. 5). The data were fit using a two-state model as previously described for several tsf coat protein mutants (22). We describe the fit of the chevron data for only the slow kinetics, because the scatter in relaxation times of the fast folding and unfolding reactions made the data difficult to fit. The scatter in all of our kinetic data is a result of the difficulty in fitting data from manual mixing experiments when one relaxation time is close to the dead time of mixing. The fit of the relaxation times for F353L coat protein from our previous work is also shown in Fig. 5 (22). The refolding and unfolding reactions for the tsf:su coat proteins diverge from two-state kinetics at urea concentrations near the peak of the chevron (open gray and black circles) as we have previously observed for the tsf mutant coat proteins (22). The data represented by these divergent points were also fit with a first order reaction with two exponentials (Fig. 6). Some of the data for these divergent points fit equally well to a first order reaction with a single exponential as with two exponentials. As the urea concentration approaches the apex of the chevon plot for the unfolding reactions, the total amplitude decreases causing the faster phase to be masked by a decrease in signal to noise. The amplitude for the faster phase of the two exponential fit was small, ~5% of the total amplitude. The slower phase, which had the majority of the amplitude, had similar relaxation times (~5%) whether the data were fit with one or two exponentials. We chose to fit all of the data with two exponentials because the rest of the chevron plot data, both unfolding and refolding reactions, were best fit with a first order reaction with two exponentials. We proposed previously that this divergence indicated a kinetic intermediate in the folding pathway of the tsf mutant coat proteins (22). As before, we fit the chevon plot data without these divergent points, a technique used by Korpanova et al. (42) for data similar to ours.

From the fits of the kinetic data, thermodynamic parameters were determined and are shown in Table IV. The stability ($\Delta G$) and $m_s$, calculated from the kinetic parameters were similar to the $\Delta G$ and $m$ value determined by the equilibrium experiments for the $I \leftrightarrow U$ transition. In addition, the midpoint of each chevon (Fig. 5) corresponds with the midpoint of the $I \leftrightarrow U$ transition of the equilibrium curve for each mutant (Fig. 1), signifying that using a two-state model to fit the kinetic data was valid and consistent with our earlier work. The relaxation times for the refolding and unfolding reactions in the absence of denaturant were determined (Table IV). The addition of the suppressor substitution increased the population of the intermediate 2-fold over the tsf parent coat protein and decreased the rate of unfolding between 2- and 7-fold compared with the tsf parent coat protein. These changes in rates likely stabilize the folding intermediate. Thus, the common mechanism of the suppressor substitution appears to be a decrease in the rate of unfolding, which stabilizes both the intermediate and native states.

### DISCUSSION

Single amino acid substitutions in bacteriophage P22 coat protein have been identified that cause a temperature-sensitive-folding (tsf) phenotype; these proteins fold properly and assemble into capsids when the infected cells are grown at low...
temperatures, but at high temperatures, the tsf coat proteins misfold and aggregate (17, 18). The folding of the tsf coat proteins at high temperatures can be rescued by overexpression of GroEL and GroES (19, 20). Second amino acid substitutions have been isolated that suppress the tsf phenotype (tsf: su) and identify other positions of importance for coat protein folding (23, 24). Our previous studies showed that the tsf: su coat proteins do not increase the formation of productive phage by decreasing aggregation (24). Surprisingly, we found that the su substitution increases aggregation above the level of the tsf parent substitution. Instead, we identified an increase in the rate of subunit assembly into procapsids as the molecular mechanism for avoiding aggregation. In addition, the tsf: su coat proteins have enhanced interactions with GroEL and GroES in vivo. Here, we have investigated the stability and kinetics of folding and unfolding of the tsf and tsf: su coat protein monomers to elucidate the mechanism by which the suppressor substitution compensates for the destabilizing effect of the tsf amino acid substitutions.

The Effect of the tsf Substitutions on the Folding of Coat Protein—Previously, we proposed that P22 coat protein has two folding domains defined spectroscopically: a tryptophan pocket that can be monitored by both tryptophan and bisANS fluorescence and a domain of secondary structure that can be monitored by both tryptophan fluorescence and a domain of secondary structure that can be monitored by both tryptophan and bisANS fluorescence (21). This rapid flickering from N to C caused the instability and the propensity to aggregate of coat proteins (22). Additionally, the rate of the unfolding reaction of the hydrophobic tryptophan pocket is increased ~8- to 14-fold by the tsf amino acid substitutions leading to the destabilization of the intermediate, I 

\[ I \rightarrow \text{domain of secondary structure} + \text{tryptophan pocket} \]

The Effect of the tsf Substitutions on the Folding of Coat Protein—Previously, we proposed that P22 coat protein has two folding domains defined spectroscopically: a tryptophan pocket that can be monitored by both tryptophan and bisANS fluorescence and a domain of secondary structure that can be monitored by CD at 222 nm (Fig. 7) (22). The destabilization caused by the tsf single amino acid substitutions primarily affects the domain of secondary structure, causing a rapid (sub-second) unfolding and refolding of that domain even in the absence of denaturant (Fig. 7, red text) (22). This rapid flickering from N to C caused the instability and the propensity to aggregate of coat proteins (22). Additionally, the rate of the unfolding reaction of the hydrophobic tryptophan pocket is increased ~8- to 14-fold by the tsf amino acid substitutions leading to the destabilization of the intermediate, I 

\[ I \rightarrow \text{domain of secondary structure} + \text{tryptophan pocket} \]

S223F coat protein was different than the other tsf mutant coat proteins in that it had observable CD refolding kinetics. Interestingly, the refolding kinetics increased in rate with in-
were like those for their
tsf condensation of the two domains, which is monitored by bisANS. Folding kinetics monitored by bisANS fluorescence for the
the I transition, which is
increasing urea concentration. Similar kinetics had been observed for the α-subunit of tryptophan synthase (34), dihydrofolate reductase (43), and ubiquitin (44, 45). There are two possible interpretations for this refolding phase: the presence of either an on-pathway or an off-pathway intermediate. In the case of an on-pathway intermediate, the equilibrium between populations of U and I are shifted toward U with increasing urea concentration, leading to a decrease in the population of the intermediate. Because relaxation times are the combination of the forward and the back rates of the reaction, the contribution of kinetics from the I → U transition, which is faster in the presence of denaturant than the U → I transition, makes the additive rate of refolding faster in denaturant. In the other scenario, an off-pathway intermediate acts as a trap that needs to be disrupted to resume productive folding. As the
denaturant concentration increases, the energy barrier between the trapped intermediate and the unfolded state becomes shallower, and therefore the rate of refolding increases. Although either explanation is possible, we favor the presence of an on-pathway intermediate because the stability (ΔG_{N → I}) of the native state of S223F is so small that the native and intermediate states are virtually at the same energy level, where shifting between levels could easily occur. Although S223F coat protein had CD kinetics that were unusual for tsf mutant coat proteins, it followed the same trend observed previously for tsf coat proteins with an ~8-fold increase in the rate of unfolding of the intermediate, from I → U. This increase in the rate of unfolding of the tsf coat proteins appears to be the major factor in destabilization when compared with WT coat protein (21, 22).

Table IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$k_f^{\text{U}}$ folding</th>
<th>$k_f^{\text{U}}$ unfolding</th>
<th>$m_{eq}$</th>
<th>$\Delta G$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>59 ± 17</td>
<td>39,000 ± 11000</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.12</td>
<td>-1.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S223F</td>
<td>34 ± 6</td>
<td>5,000 ± 700</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.13</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S223F:T166I</td>
<td>16 ± 6</td>
<td>9,000 ± 100</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.11</td>
<td>-1.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F353L</td>
<td>76 ± 11</td>
<td>3,000 ± 500</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.11</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F353L:T166I</td>
<td>33 ± 7</td>
<td>22,000 ± 1100</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.12</td>
<td>-1.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) WT data taken from Anderson and Teschke (21).
b) F353L data taken from Doyle et al. (22).

Fig. 7. Model for suppression by the T166I substitution. The model for the folding of tsf coat proteins (red) has been modified from Doyle et al. (22) to incorporate the tsf:su coat proteins (green). The model shows the two spectroscopically defined folding domains: the domain monitored by CD is in dark blue, and the domain monitored by tryptophan and bisANS fluorescence is in light blue. Coat protein has six tryptophans; the “W” letters in the model represent the hydrophobic patch in the protein to suggest the positions of the tryptophans. The dark blue, light blue, and golden arrows indicate steps followed by CD, tryptophan fluorescence, and bisANS fluorescence, respectively. The step monitored by CD for the tsf mutants occurs only in the burst phase of the folding reactions. The refolding step to native S223F is an exception, because the CD kinetics are observable but much faster than WT coat protein. The su substitution slows this step down, with observable unfolding kinetics for both tsf:su coat proteins and refolding kinetics for S223F:T166I. This change in the kinetics monitored by CD indicates a slower rate of flickering of the domain of secondary structure. In addition, the unfolding kinetics monitored by tryptophan fluorescence (for the I → U step) were significantly slower for the tsf:su coat proteins than their tsf parents, leading to an increased population of the intermediate. The final step in the folding pathway is the condensation of the two domains, which is monitored by bisANS. Folding kinetics monitored by bisANS fluorescence for the tsf:su coat proteins were like those for their tsf parents (data not shown), so no change is indicated.

Kinetic parameters determined from analysis of the kinetic data in chevron plots monitored by tryptophan fluorescence.

Table IV

Fits of the urea dependence of the slow relaxation times for folding and unfolding (τ).
A Model for Suppression by the T166I Substitution — Here we have studied the tsf:su coat proteins to find the mechanism of suppression by the T166I su substitution. For F353L:T166I coat protein, the su substitution stabilizes the folding intermediate. This increase in population is due to a 7-fold decrease in the rate of unfolding as monitored by tryptophan fluorescence, as well as the faster rate of refolding from U to N transition. Additionally, unfolding kinetics examined by CD, which primarily monitors the N⇔I transition, are also observable for F353L:T166I coat protein, but not for F353L. This implies additional stability of the native state, likely due to a decrease in the rate of flickering of the domain of secondary structure. However, we do not see an increase in the stability of the native state indicating there must be a compensating change in the refolding rate, keeping the AG the same. The change in solvent-accessible surface area (m value) over the N⇔I transition was within error for F353L and F353L:T166I coat proteins. This indicated that the unfolding of native F353L:T166I coat protein to its intermediate exposed similar amounts of surface area to solvent as did F353L coat protein. The above data are consistent with the bisANS binding data, which shows the native state of F353L and F353L:T166I bind the same amount of bisANS with the same affinity.

When T166I is investigated in conjunction with S223F, the T166I substitution appears to affect the native state of S223F:T166I coat protein more dramatically than for F353L:T166I coat protein, with a smaller effect on the folding intermediate. However, the addition of the T166I substitution increases the stability of S223F:T166I coat protein. This increase in stability of the native state is likely due to the decrease in the rate of flickering of the domain of secondary structure, the N⇔I transition (Fig. 7, green text). Again, the bisANS binding data is consistent with the kinetic and thermodynamic data and suggests a stabilized native state for S223F:T166I coat protein.

Refolding kinetics are only observable for S223F and S223F:T166I coat proteins, whereas unfolding kinetics are observable for S223F:T166I as well as F353L:T166I coat protein. The relaxation time for refolding of S223F:T166I coat protein (I⇔N) in the absence of urea was 2-fold faster than for WT coat protein. In addition, the kinetics of unfolding for S223F:T166I coat protein (N⇔I) are much slower than for any other mutant coat protein, imparting stability to the native state, although they are 2000-fold faster than WT coat protein (21, 22). The stabilizing effect on the folding intermediate likely comes from a slower rate of unfolding of the hydrophobic pocket (I⇔U), as well as the faster rate of refolding from U⇔I. Overall, the suppressor substitution decreases the rate of unfolding of both the native and intermediate states, thereby increasing the stability and population of both states, but the effect on each state is dependent on the tsf parent substitution.

The Stabilization of the tsf:su Coat Proteins Allows More Productive Interactions with a Chaperone Network — The su substitution stabilizes both the intermediate and the native state for the tsf parent coat proteins, S223F and F353L. Aramli and Teschke (24) observed a greater degree of aggregation for the tsf:su coat proteins than for their tsf parents in vitro. The stabilization of the intermediate likely leads to the increased aggregation of the tsf:su coat proteins, and increased interactions with GroEL and GroES in vivo.2 In agreement with the in vitro results presented here, our recent in vivo experiments also show that not only is a higher percentage of tsf:su coat proteins bound to GroEL than their tsf parents, but the tsf:su coat proteins actually induce GroEL expression as compared with the induced GroEL levels for the tsf coat proteins. Thus, enhanced GroEL/ES interactions emerge as one function of the su substitution.

Aramli and Teschke (24) also determined that, in the presence of scaffolding protein, under conditions favorable for in vitro procapsid assembly, the tsf:su coat proteins assemble more efficiently than their tsf parents, decreasing overall aggregation. This result was consistent with our in vivo experiments, which showed that the interaction with scaffolding protein by the tsf:su coat proteins is essential for suppression of the tsf phenotype.2 The tsf:su coat proteins require less scaffolding protein to make a procapsid than do their tsf parents, indicating that the tsf:su coat proteins have more favorable interactions with scaffolding protein. Moreover, the tsf:su coat proteins are able to produce procapsids at lower concentrations of scaffolding protein, as compared with their tsf parents, also suggesting that the su substitution causes enhanced interactions with scaffolding protein. This may be due to the increased stability of the tsf:su coat proteins imparted by a slower rate of flickering between the intermediate and the native state. We propose that this stabilization allows more time for interaction with scaffolding protein and favors assembly into procapsids over aggregation. Combined, the su substitutions appear to function through increased interactions with this chaperone network.

Mechanisms of Global Suppression — Two common modes of action have been determined for the global suppressors of other proteins: suppression of misfolding and aggregation by 1) improving folding or 2) increasing the stability of the protein. For β-lactamase and chloramphenicol acetyltransferase, the suppressor substitutions appear to suppress misfolding and aggregation without an increase in the stability of the protein (46, 47). Conversely, the global suppressor for the transmembrane receptor-like protein, human LAR, acts by increasing the stability of the native state of the protein through an increased efficiency of folding to the active state (48). The suppression mechanism of the tsf phenotype in bacteriophage P22 tailspike protein is dependent on the suppressor substitution. The su substitutions V331A and V331G stabilize both the native state and a thermolabile folding intermediate (49–52). In contrast, the su substitutions A334V and A334I destabilize the native state, due to steric strain, but improve a hydrophobic stack in a large β-helix stabilizing the folding intermediate (51–53).

In a manner similar to the P22 tailspike protein su substitutions V331A and V331G, the T166I su substitution in P22 coat protein stabilizes both a folding intermediate and the native state. The su substitution increases the population of the intermediate by slowing the rate of unfolding from I⇔U. GroEL can then interact with the intermediate to rescue it from aggregation. In addition, the su substitution increases the population of the native state, which augments the productive interactions with scaffolding protein increasing cost protein assembly into procapsids. This increase in population of the native state is in large part due to the decrease in the rate of flickering of a domain of secondary structure, between the intermediate and the native state. Consequently, we believe we have identified a mechanism for suppression of the tsf phenotype through changes in kinetics of folding and unfolding of an intermediate and the native state. The changes in kinetics in concert with scaffolding protein, an assembly chaperone, and GroEL and GroES.
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